



CA-NV AWWA Water Loss Technical Assistance Program

Wave 4 Water Audit Level 1 Validation Document

Audit Information:

Utility: Pismo Beach

PWS ID: 4010008

System Type: Potable

Audit Period: Calendar 2016

Utility Representation: Brandon Shea (Lead Operator), Chad Stoehr (Engineering), Jacque Risenhoover (Finance), Russ Flemming (Utilities Supervisor)

Validation Date: 7/7/2017

Call Time: 12:00pm

Sufficient Supporting Documents Provided: Yes

Validation Findings & Confirmation Statement:

Key Audit Metrics:

Data Validity Score: 49 Data Validity Band (Level): Band II (26-50)

ILI: 0.13

Real Loss: 1.97 (gal/conn/day) Apparent Loss: 4.45 (gal/conn/day)

Non-revenue water as percent of cost of operating system: 1.6

Certification Statement by Validator:

This water loss audit report has been Level 1 validated per the requirements of California Code of Regulations Title 23, Division 2, Chapter 7 and the California Water Code Section 10608.34.

All recommendations on volume derivation and Data Validity Grades were incorporated into the water audit. $oxdit{oxtime}$

Validator Information:

Water Audit Validator: Drew Blackwell / Larry Lewison (support) Validator Qualifications: Contractor for CA-NV AWWA Water Loss TAP





| A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR | | ee Water Audit S porting Workshe | | | W/American Water Wor | AS v5.0 ks Associatio |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| Click to access definition Click to add a comment Water Audit Report for: Reporting Year: | | | | | | |
| Please enter data in the white cells below. Where available, metered values should input data by grading each component (n/a or 1-10) using the drop-down list to the | e left of the | input cell. Hover the mouse | over the cell to obtain a | value. Indicate your confidence description of the grades | e in the accuracy of the | |
| | | o be entered as: ACRE- | | | _ | |
| To select the correct data grading for each input, | determine | the highest grade where | | | | |
| the utility meets or exceeds all criteria for | triat grade | | | | upply Error Adjustme | nts |
| WATER SUPPLIED | | | in column 'E' and 'J' | Pont: | Value: | |
| Volume from own sources: Water imported: | + ? 3 + ? 3 | | acre-ft/yr acre-ft/yr | | 0 | acre-ft/yr |
| Water exported: | + ? n/ | | acre-ft/yr | | 0 | acre-ft/yr |
| | | | | | value for under-regis | |
| WATER SUPPLIED: | | 1,646.290 | acre-ft/yr | Enter positive % or v | alue for over-registra | ition |
| AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION | | | | | Click here: | = |
| Elliod Hictorica. | + ? 6 | .,00000 | | | for help using option | |
| Billed unmetered: | | 51000 | acre-ft/yr | | | |
| Unbilled metered: Unbilled unmetered: | + ? n/s + ? 5 | | acre-ft/yr | Pont: | Value: | 1 |
| Oribined unimetered: | 3 | 4.116 | acre-ft/yr | | (a) 4.116 | acre-ft/yr |
| AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION: | 7 | 1,611.146 | acre-ft/yr | | Use buttons to select percentage of water supplied | |
| | | | | | OR | |
| WATER LOSSES (Water Supplied - Authorized Consumption) | | 35.144 | acre-ft/yr | | value | |
| Apparent Losses | | | | Pont: | ▼ Value: | |
| Unauthorized consumption: | | | acre-ft/yr | 0.25% | () | acre-ft/yr |
| Default option selected for unauthorized consu | mption - a | grading of 5 is applied | but not displayed | | | |
| Customer metering inaccuracies: | | 10.200 | acre-ft/yr | 110070 | O | acre-ft/yr |
| Systematic data handling errors: | | | acre-ft/yr | 0.25% | | acre-ft/yr |
| Default option selected for Systematic data Apparent Losses: | nandling e | | | layed | | |
| Apparent Losses: | M.All | 24.300 | acre-ft/yr | | | |
| Real Losses (Current Annual Real Losses or CARL) | | | | | | |
| Real Losses = Water Losses - Apparent Losses: | 7 | 10.778 | acre-ft/yr | | | |
| WATER LOSSES: | | 35 144 | acre-ft/yr | | | |
| | | 00.144 | acre-to yi | | | |
| NON-REVENUE WATER NON-REVENUE WATER: | 2 | 20.260 | 64 | | | |
| = Water Losses + Unbilled Metered + Unbilled Unmetered | | 39.200 | acre-ft/yr | | | |
| SYSTEM DATA | | | | | | |
| Length of mains: | ? 8 | 50.0 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | ? 8 | | miles | | | |
| Number of <u>active AND inactive</u> service connections: Service connection density: | ? 8 | 4,889 98 | miles conn./mile main | | | |
| Number of <u>active AND inactive</u> service connections: Service connection density: | | 4,889 98 | | | | |
| Number of <u>active AND inactive</u> service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? | ? | 4,889 | conn./mile main | ce line, <u>beyond</u> the property | | |
| Number of <u>active AND inactive</u> service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line: | ? | 4,889 98 Yes | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that | is the responsibility of the utility |)) | |
| Number of <u>active AND inactive</u> service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? | ? | 4,889 98 Yes | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied | is the responsibility of the utility | n | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line: Average length of customer service line has been set | ? | 4,889 98 Yes | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied | is the responsibility of the utility | n | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line: Average length of customer service line has been set | ? | 4,889 98 Yes | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied | is the responsibility of the utility | 0 | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line: Average length of customer service line has been set Average operating pressure: | ? to zero an | 4,889 98 Yes and a data grading score 72.9 | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied psi | is the responsibility of the utility | 0 | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line: Average length of customer service line has been set Average operating pressure: COST DATA Total annual cost of operating water system: | ? to zero an | 4,889 98 Yes nd a data grading score 72.9 | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied psi | is the responsibility of the utility | 0 | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line: Average length of customer service line has been set Average operating pressure: | ? 10 2ero and ? 9 | 4,889 98 Yes nd a data grading score 72.9 | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied psi | is the responsibility of the utility | | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line has been set Average operating pressure: COST DATA Total annual cost of operating water system: Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses): | ? to zero an ? 9 | 4,889 98 Yes and a data grading score 72.9 \$3,699,264 \$3.82 | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied psi | is the responsibility of the utility ad | | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line has been set Average operating pressure: COST DATA Total annual cost of operating water system: Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses): Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses): WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: | ? to zero an ? 9 | 4,889 98 Yes and a data grading score 72.9 \$3,699,264 \$3.82 \$1,141.90 | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied psi \$/Year \$/100 cubic feet (ccf) \$/acre-ft | is the responsibility of the utility ad | | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line has been set Average operating pressure: COST DATA Total annual cost of operating water system: Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses): Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses): WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: | ? to zero an ? 9 | 4,889 98 Yes and a data grading score 72.9 \$3,699,264 \$3.82 | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied psi \$/Year \$/100 cubic feet (ccf) \$/acre-ft | is the responsibility of the utility ad | | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line: Average length of customer service line has been set Average operating pressure: 4 COST DATA Total annual cost of operating water system: Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses): Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses): WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: | to zero and a record of the re | \$3,699,264 \$3,82 \$1,141.90 | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied psi \$/Year \$/100 cubic feet (ccf) \$/acre-ft | is the responsibility of the utility and seed | | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line: Average length of customer service line has been set Average operating pressure: COST DATA Total annual cost of operating water system: Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses): Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses): WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: | to zero and a record of the re | \$3,699,264 \$3,82 \$1,141.90 | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied psi \$/Year \$/100 cubic feet (ccf) \$/acre-ft | is the responsibility of the utility and seed | | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line: Average length of customer service line has been set Average operating pressure: COST DATA Total annual cost of operating water system: Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses): Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses): WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: A weighted scale for the components of consumpti | to zero and 7 9 9 7 5 5 5 | 4,889 98 Yes and a data grading score 72.9 \$3,699,264 \$3.82 \$1,141.90 RE IS: 49 out of 100 *** or loss is included in the cal | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied psi \$/Year \$/100 cubic feet (ccf) \$/acre-ft | is the responsibility of the utility and seed | | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line: Average length of customer service line has been set Average operating pressure: COST DATA Total annual cost of operating water system: Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses): Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses): WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: A weighted scale for the components of consumpti PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION: Based on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing | to zero and 7 9 9 7 5 5 5 | 4,889 98 Yes and a data grading score 72.9 \$3,699,264 \$3.82 \$1,141.90 RE IS: 49 out of 100 *** or loss is included in the cal | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied psi \$/Year \$/100 cubic feet (ccf) \$/acre-ft | is the responsibility of the utility and seed | | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line has been set Average operating pressure: COST DATA Total annual cost of operating water system: Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses): Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses): WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: A weighted scale for the components of consumption priority areas for attention: | to zero and 7 9 9 7 5 5 5 | 4,889 98 Yes and a data grading score 72.9 \$3,699,264 \$3.82 \$1,141.90 RE IS: 49 out of 100 *** or loss is included in the cal | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied psi \$/Year \$/100 cubic feet (ccf) \$/acre-ft | is the responsibility of the utility and seed | | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line: Average length of customer service line has been set Average operating pressure: COST DATA Total annual cost of operating water system: Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses): Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses): WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: A weighted scale for the components of consumpting provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing | to zero and 7 9 9 7 5 5 5 | 4,889 98 Yes and a data grading score 72.9 \$3,699,264 \$3.82 \$1,141.90 RE IS: 49 out of 100 *** or loss is included in the cal | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied psi \$/Year \$/100 cubic feet (ccf) \$/acre-ft | is the responsibility of the utility and seed | | |
| Number of active AND inactive service connections: Service connection density: Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line has been set Average operating pressure: COST DATA Total annual cost of operating water system: Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses): Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses): WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE: A weighted scale for the components of consumption on the information provided, audit accuracy can be improved by addressing 1: Water imported | to zero and 7 9 9 7 5 5 5 | 4,889 98 Yes and a data grading score 72.9 \$3,699,264 \$3.82 \$1,141.90 RE IS: 49 out of 100 *** or loss is included in the cal | conn./mile main (length of service boundary, that of 10 has been applied psi \$/Year \$/100 cubic feet (ccf) \$/acre-ft | is the responsibility of the utility and seed | | |





CA-NV AWWA Water Loss Technical Assistance Program

Wave 4 Water Audit Level 1 Validation Document

Water System Name: Pismo Beach city of Water System ID Number: 4010008

Water Audit Period: Calendar 2016

Water Audit & Water Loss Improvement Steps:

Steps taken in preceding year to increase data validity, reduce real loss and apparent loss as informed by the annual validated water audit:

- Improved understanding of Supply Meter (Own or Import) Master Meter Error: consider adopting or increasing the rigor of a source meter volumetric testing and calibration program, informed by the guidance provided in AWWA Manual M36 – Appendix A.
- (by size, age, or other characteristics) represents the entire customer meter stock. Improved estimation of CMI: consider a customer meter testing program which tests a sample of random meters whose stratification
- Temporal alignment of Billed Metered Authorized Consumption with Water Supplied: consider pro-rating the first and last months of the audit period to better align consumption with actualdates of use, and using read date as basis for reporting

Certification Statement by Utility Executive:

in their manual, Water Audits and Loss Control Programs, Manual M36, Fourth Edition and in the Free Water Audit Software version 5. Code Section 10608.34 and has been prepared in accordance with the method adopted by the American Water Works Association, as contained This water loss audit report meets the requirements of California Code of Regulations Title 23, Division 2, Chapter 7 and the California Water

Executive Name (Print)

十つとの

Executive Position

Director of

ROSSIZ WOCKS

Signature